

6/12/80

Introduced by: Councilman Grant

Proposed No. 80-296

ORDINANCE NO. 4944

(16)

AN ORDINANCE sustaining the appeal of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner's recommendation upon the application for reclassification petitioned by Eugene W. Torrence, designated Building and Land Development File No. 107-80-R, and reclassifying subject site to BC.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. This Ordinance does hereby adopt the findings and conclusions contained in the report of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner dated February 25, 1980, as modified by the attached amendments, which was filed with the Clerk of the Council on March 11, 1980, to approve a reclassification of certain property from CG-P to BC, upon the application of Eugene W. Torrence, designated by the Building and Land Development Division, Department of Planning and Community Development, File No. 107-80-R.

SECTION 2. The King County Council further finds that the recommendation of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner as contained in the said report was based upon an error in judgment.

SECTION 3. The King County Council does hereby reclassify the subject property from CG-P to BC, subject only to the provisions of the King County Code which are applicable to the BC zone classification.

INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 7th day of January, 1980.

PASSED this 16th day of June, 1980.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Bill Reams
Chairman

ATTEST:

Deputy M. Quinn
Deputy Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 23rd day of June, 1980.

King County Executive

AMENDMENTS TO FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS BY
THE ZONING AND SUBDIVISION EXAMINER

4944

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

Supplemental Findings.

Supp. 1. The conditions recommended by the Examiner in this matter would place an unreasonable financial burden on the applicant.

Supp. 2. The general requirements of the BC zone are adequate to protect the public interest in this instance.

Supplemental Conclusions.

Supp. 1. If approved, the proposed reclassification will comply with the goals and objectives of the King County Comprehensive Plan, other official policies and objectives for the growth of King County, and will not be unreasonably incompatible with or detrimental to affected properties and the general public. This reclassification is required for the public necessity, convenience and general welfare.

Supp. 2. The subject property should be reclassified to BC.